

AGENDA ITEM V

April 30, 2008

Review and Approval of the FM 691 Corridor Study (Kimley-Horn)

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

With the unabated growth being experienced in the Sherman-Denison Study Area, the Transportation Policy Board, included in its FY 2008 - 2009 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) a Sub-Area Corridor Study. The reasoning was to pro actively address some key facilities to maintain or enhance their functionality as their capacity continues to be tested.

On February 20, 2008, the Transportation Policy Board voted unanimously to authorize the Technical Committee to start negotiations with the preferred vendor (Kimley-Horn) for the FM 691 Corridor Study. On March 12, 2008 the Technical Committee met to review the proposed scope and contract. Several recommendations were made by the group which were sent to the consultant. After a second set of comments was sent and changes made to the original draft, the final document evolved.

The scope/contract will examine the engineering, economic, and public issues regarding the proposed conceptual alternatives:

- Task 1 – Develop Project Work Plan
- Task 2 – Evaluation of Existing Conditions and Short-Range Needs
- Task 3 – Evaluation of Existing and Projected Environmental and Land Use Conditions
- Task 4 – Analyze Future Travel Demand
- Task 5 – Evaluation of Extension to SH 289 and Additional Corridor Enhancements
- Task 6 – Public Involvement Plan
- Task 7 – Develop Corridor Strategy
- Task 8 – Project Management

The schedule calls for a six month study beginning in May and concluding in October 2008.

The scope and agreement is divided into:

- Agreement
- Services to be Performed by the Client - Exhibit A
- Services to be Provided by the Engineer - Exhibit B
- Work Schedule - Exhibit C
- FM 691 Corridor Study Fee Proposal - Exhibit D
- Lump Sum Incremental Payment Schedule - Exhibit E

AGENDA ITEM V

April 30, 2008

Review and Approval of the FM 691 Corridor Study (Kimley-Horn)

ACTION REQUESTED:

The Transportation Policy Board (TPB) is requested to formally approve the contract and give the consultant 'notice to proceed' with the FM 691 Corridor Study.

ATTACHMENTS:

- Agreement
- Services to be Performed by the Client - Exhibit A
- Services to be Provided by the Engineer - Exhibit B
- Work Schedule - Exhibit C
- FM 691 Corridor Study Fee Proposal - Exhibit D
- Lump Sum Incremental Payment Schedule - Exhibit E

AGENDA ITEM VI
April 30, 2008

Review and Approval of FY 2008 - 2011 TIP Amendment

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

The SH 91 overpass at UP RR, included in the FY 2006 - 2008 TIP and scheduled to be let in August 2007 did not let. The project was not included the FY 2008 - 2011 TIP. TxDOT has asked the MPO to schedule letting for this project in October of 2009 (FY 2010).

Three maintenance 'Grouped Projects' will be added to the FY 2008 - 2011 TIP. These projects (see attached) include:

- Mill and Place Novachip on US 75 from Travis to Dulin
- Mill and Place Novachip on US 75 from Dulin to FM 1417
- Hot in Place Recycling & Novachip from

These additions will require an amendment to the FY 2008 - 2011 TIP.

A public involvement meeting was held on March 12, 2008.

ACTION REQUESTED:

The Transportation Policy Board (TPB) is requested to approve the proposed amendment to the FY 2008 - 2011 TIP and authorize staff to forward changes to Transportation Planning and Programming (TPP) in Austin.

ATTACHMENTS:

- Proposed Amendment
- Map

Vote: Motion by: _____ Seconded by: _____	
Motion Description: _____ _____ _____	
Vote: For _____ Against _____	
Sherman-Denison Transportation Policy Board Chairman	
_____ Gene Short	_____ Date

AGENDA ITEM VII

April 30, 2008

Review and Appropriate Action on proposed By-Laws

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

At the December 6, 2007 Transportation Policy Board meeting, staff presented a draft 'By-Laws' document for review by the board. After careful discussion, staff was directed to rework the document so it would address certain areas more directly. Some observations/ suggestions were as follows:

- On attendance policy- there was no time period specified.
- Takes 2/3 (4 out of 5) Board members to approve amendment of By-laws- should be less.
- Needs to be specified how a Board member gets an item on agenda.
- Vice Chairman position suggested.
- Chairman & Vice Chairman positions need to be one year term and be eligible for re-election.
- Chairman should have a vote.

The reworked draft reflects some of these changes.

ACTION REQUESTED:

The Transportation Policy Board (TPB) is requested to review and discuss the draft 'By-Laws' document. Should it meet the needs of the board, an approval of the document will be needed. If the document needs to be further refined, board is requested to direct staff to do so.

ATTACHMENTS:

- Draft By-Laws

Vote: Motion by: _____		Seconded by: _____	
Motion Description: _____			

			Vote:
For _____		Against _____	
Sherman-Denison Transportation Policy Board Chairman			
_____ / _____			
Gene Short		Date	